UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

IN RE: NATIONAL FOOTBALL LEAGUE PLAYERS' CONCUSSION	No. 12-md-2323 (AB)
INJURY LITIGATION	MDL No. 2323
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	SHORT FORM COMPLAINT
	IN RE: NATIONAL FOOTBALL
Plaintiffs' Master Administrative Long-	LEAGUE PLAYERS' CONCUSSION
Form Complaint and (if applicable) Art Monk, et al.	INJURY LITIGATION
v. National Football League [et al.],	
No. <u>2:12-cv-03533-AB</u>	
	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

SHORT FORM COMPLAINT

- 1. Plaintiff(s), <u>David Grayson</u>, Jr _______, (and, if applicable, Plaintiff's Spouse) _______, bring(s) this civil action as a related action in the matter entitled IN RE: NATIONAL FOOTBALL LEAGUE PLAYERS' CONCUSSION INJURY LITIGATION, MDL No. 2323.
- 2. Plaintiff (and, if applicable, Plaintiff's Spouse) is/are filing this short form complaint as required by this Court's Case Management Order No. 2, filed April 26, 2012.
- 3. Plaintiff (and, if applicable Plaintiff's Spouse), incorporate(s) by reference the allegations (as designated below) of the Master Administrative Long-Form Complaint, as may be amended, as if fully set forth at length in this Short Form Complaint.

4.	[Fill in if applicable] I	'laintiff is filing this case	in a representative capacity as the
	of	,	having been duly appointed as the
	by the	Court of	(Cross out
sentence bel	ow if not applicable.) Co	pies of the Letters of Adı	ministration/Letters Testamentary
for a wrongf	ful death claim are annexe	d hereto if such Letters a	re required for the commencement
of such a cla	im by the Probate, Surrog	gate or other appropriate of	court of the jurisdiction of the
decedent.			
5.	Plaintiff, David Grays	on, Jr, is a resident and	l citizen of
California		and claims of	lamages as set forth below.
6.	[Fill in if applicable] P	laintiff's spouse,	, is a resident and
citizen of	, and	claims damages as a resu	alt of loss of consortium
proximately	caused by the harm suffe	red by her Plaintiff husba	and/decedent.
7.	On information and be	lief, the Plaintiff (or dece	edent) sustained repetitive,
traumatic su	b-concussive and/or conc	ussive head impacts during	ng NFL games and/or practices.
On informat	ion and belief, Plaintiff su	iffers (or decedent suffere	ed) from symptoms of brain injury
caused by th	e repetitive, traumatic sub	o-concussive and/or conc	ussive head impacts the Plaintiff
(or decedent	t) sustained during NFL ga	ames and/or practices. (On information and belief,
the Plaintiff	's (or decedent's) symptor	ns arise from injuries tha	t are latent and have developed
and continue	e to develop over time.		
in County of	[Fill in if applicable] To recourt of the State of California, Los Angeles on May 3, 2012 ourt of the State of California,		Plaintiff(s) in this matter was filed aded, it should be remanded to
County of Los A			

9.	Plaint	iff claims damages as a result of [check all that apply]:
	√	Injury to Herself/Himself
		Injury to the Person Represented
		Wrongful Death
		Survivorship Action
	\checkmark	Economic Loss
		Loss of Services
		Loss of Consortium
10.	[Fill in	n if applicable] As a result of the injuries to her husband,
		, Plaintiff's Spouse,, suffers from a
loss of conso	rtium, ii	ncluding the following injuries:
lo	oss of ma	arital services;
lo	oss of co	mpanionship, affection or society;
lo	oss of su	pport; and
m	onetary	losses in the form of unreimbursed costs she has had to expend for the
health	n care ar	nd personal care of her husband.
11.	[Chec	k if applicable] Plaintiff (and Plaintiff's Spouse, if applicable)
reserve(s) the	e right to	object to federal jurisdiction.

DEFENDANTS

12.	Plaintiff (and Plaintiff's Spouse, if applicable) bring(s) this case against the	
following De	fendant	s in this action [check all that apply]:
	\checkmark	National Football League
	\checkmark	NFL Properties, LLC
	\checkmark	Riddell, Inc.
	\checkmark	All American Sports, Inc. (d/b/a Riddell Sports Group, Inc.)
	√	Riddell Sports Group, Inc.
	\checkmark	Easton-Bell Sports, Inc.
	\checkmark	Easton-Bell Sports, LLC
	\checkmark	EB Sports Corporation
	√	RBG Holdings Corporation
13.	[Chec	k where applicable] As to each of the Riddell Defendants referenced above
the claims ass	serted a	re: design defect; manufacturing defect.
14.	[Chec	k if applicable] The Plaintiff (or decedent) wore one or more helmets
designed and	or man	ufactured by the Riddell Defendants during one or more years Plaintiff (or
decedent) pla	yed in t	he NFL and/or AFL.
15.	Plaint	iff played in [check if applicable] the National Football League
("NFL") and	or in [c]	heck if applicable] the American Football League ("AFL") during

1987-1993		for the following teams:
Cleveland Br	owns ar	nd San Diego Chargers
		<u>CAUSES OF ACTION</u>
16.	Plainti	iff herein adopts by reference the following Counts of the Master
Administrativ	e Long-	-Form Complaint, along with the factual allegations incorporated by
reference in th	nose Co	unts [check all that apply]:
	\checkmark	Count I (Action for Declaratory Relief – Liability (Against the NFL))
	\checkmark	Count II (Medical Monitoring (Against the NFL))
		Count III (Wrongful Death and Survival Actions (Against the NFL))
	\checkmark	Count IV (Fraudulent Concealment (Against the NFL))
	√	Count V (Fraud (Against the NFL))
	\checkmark	Count VI (Negligent Misrepresentation (Against the NFL))
		Count VII (Negligence Pre-1968 (Against the NFL Defendants))
	\checkmark	Count VIII (Negligence Post-1968 (Against the NFL Defendants))
		Count IX (Negligence 1987-1993 (Against the NFL Defendants))
	\checkmark	Count X (Negligence Post-1994 (Against the NFL Defendants))

	Count XI (Loss of Consortium (Against the NFL and Riddell Defendants))
\checkmark	Count XII (Negligent Hiring (Against the NFL))
\checkmark	Count XIII (Negligent Retention (Against the NFL))
\checkmark	Count XIV (Strict Liability for Design Defect (Against the Riddell
	Defendants))
	Count XV (Strict Liability for Manufacturing Defect (Against the Riddell
	Defendants))
\checkmark	Count XVI (Failure to Warn (Against the Riddell Defendants))
\checkmark	Count XVII (Negligence (Against the Riddell Defendants))
\checkmark	Count XVIII (Civil Conspiracy/Fraudulent Concealment (Against NFL
	Defendants))
Plain	tiff asserts the following additional causes of action [write in or attach]:
ATTAC	HMENT "A" TO THIS COMPLAINT.
	✓ ✓ ✓ Plain

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff (and Plaintiff's Spouse, if applicable) pray(s) for judgment as follows:

- A. An award of compensatory damages, the amount of which will be determined at trial;
- B. For punitive and exemplary damages as applicable;
- C. For all applicable statutory damages of the state whose laws will govern this action;
- D. For medical monitoring, whether denominated as damages or in the form of equitable relief;
- E. For an award of attorneys' fees and costs;
- F. An award of prejudgment interest and costs of suit; and
- G. An award of such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

JURY DEMANDED

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 38, Plaintiff(s) hereby demand(s) a trial by jury.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED:

s/Jason E. Luckasevic

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
Jason E. Luckasevic, Esquire
Goldberg, Persky & White, P.C.
1030 Fifth Avenue
Pittsburgh, PA 15219

Telephone: (412) 471-3980 - 7 - Facsimile: (412) 471-8308

and

GIRARDI | KEESE

Thomas Girardi (California Bar No. 36603) Graham LippSmith (California Bar No. 221984) 1126 Wilshire Boulevard Los Angeles, California 90017 Telephone: (213) 977-0211

Facsimile: (213)481-1554

RUSSOMANNO & BORRELLO, P.A.

Herman J. Russomanno (Florida Bar No. 240346) Robert J. Borrello (Florida Bar No. 764485) 150 West Flagler Street - PH 2800

Miami, FL 33130

Telephone: (305) 373-2101 Facsimile: (305) 373-2103

ATTACHMENT "A" TO SHORT FORM COMPLAINT

COUNT XIX NEGLIGENCE - Monopolist (As Against the NFL)

- 1. The NFL, by and through its monopoly power, has historically had a duty to invoke rules that protect the health and safety of its players, including Plaintiffs, and the public.
- 2. As a monopoly, the NFL has a duty to protect the health and safety of its players, as well as the public at large.
- 3. The NFL's failure to exercise reasonable care in its duty increased the risk that the Plaintiffs would suffer long-term neurocognitive injuries.
- 4. The Plaintiffs reasonably relied to their detriment on the NFL's actions and omissions on the subject.
- 5. Under all of the above circumstances, it was foreseeable that the NFL's failure to exercise reasonable care in the execution of its duties would cause or substantially contribute to the personal injuries suffered by the Plaintiffs.
- 6. The NFL's failure to exercise reasonable care in the execution of its duties proximately caused or contributed to Plaintiffs' injuries.
- 7. As a result of the NFL's negligence, the NFL is liable to Plaintiffs, and the Plaintiffs are entitled to, and seek, all damages allowed by applicable law.

COUNT XX NEGLIGENCE (As Against the NFL and NFL Properties)

8. The NFL and NFL Properties breached their duty to ensure that the helmets they licensed, required and/or approved were of the highest possible quality and sufficient to protect the NFL players, including Plaintiffs, and/or they increased the plaintiffs' risks of the long term health consequences of concussive brain injury.

- 9. The NFL and NFL Properties breached their duty by licensing the Riddell Defendants' helmets, and approving and/or requiring the use of the helmets for the NFL players, knowing or having reason to know that the helmets were negligently and defectively designed and/or lacked an adequate warning.
- 10. As a result of these breaches by the NFL and NFL Properties, Plaintiffs suffer personal injuries as a result the long-term health effects of concussive brain injuries.
- 11. As a result of the NFL and NFL Properties' negligence, the NFL Defendants are liable to Plaintiffs, and the Plaintiffs are entitled to, and seek, all damages allowed by applicable law.